

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW

GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Bill Number		Summary
HB	1170	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Romero</i></p> <p>LIMITING RESTRICTIONS ON RESIDENTIAL DAY-CARE FACILITIES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A county cannot zone against or otherwise prohibit the use of a residential dwelling as a family day-care facility in a residential or commercial zone. The county can require the family day-care facility to comply with safety and licensing regulations and zoning conditions that are imposed on other dwellings in the same zone. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor C 286 L 03</i></p>
2SHB	1234	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Miloscia</i> <i>(SB 5752 Roach)</i></p> <p>REQUIRING STATE AGENCIES TO PREPARE HOUSING IMPACT STATEMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When an agency proposes a new rule, it must assess whether the rule causes an increase to the cost of housing or a component of housing. If it causes an increase of 5 percent or more, the agency must prepare a housing impact statement and must reduce the impact of the rule upon developers and home builders. <p>H Rules 3C 4/27/03</p>
E2SHB	1336	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Linville, Honeyford</i> <i>(SB 5332Honeyford)</i></p> <p>CONCERNING WATERSHED PLANNING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Directs planning units to coordinate and oversee the implementation of watershed plans and authorizes a state grant program for these activities. Requires the planning units to provide for the periodic review of approved plans. Requires rules adopted by the Department of Ecology (DOE) for implementing its watershed obligations to be developed under negotiated rule-making and allows the DOE to amend approved plans by rule through such negotiated rule-making. Requires all watershed planning to include timelines and interim milestones for achieving certain water supply objectives. Requires instream flow requirements to be set in each water resource inventory area (WRIA). <p>H Rules 3C 4/27/03</p>
HB	1420	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Quall</i> <i>(SB 5349 Haugen)</i></p> <p>ALLOWING SPECIAL DISTRICTS TO PROVIDE DRAINAGE DITCHES AND TIDE GATES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expressly includes drainage ditches, tide gates, and flood gates among the types of works that diking and drainage districts may construct, operate and maintain.
HB	1430	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Miloscia</i> <i>(SB 5752 Roach)</i></p> <p>REQUIRING STATE AGENCIES TO PREPARE HOUSING IMPACT STATEMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When an agency proposes a new rule, it must assess whether the rule causes an increase to the cost of housing or a component of housing. If it causes an increase of 5 percent or more, the agency must prepare a housing impact statement and must reduce the impact of the rule upon developers and home builders. <p>Del to Gov 4/24/03</p>

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW

GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Bill Number		Summary
SHB	1550	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Representative Linville</i></p> <p>REVISING THE DUTIES OF AND RENAMING THE OFFICE OF PERMIT ASSISTANCE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The "Office of Permit Assistance" is renamed the "Office of Regulatory Assistance." • The Office of Financial Management, which houses this office, must hire a director for the office and create a comprehensive web site linked through the governor's office to federal, state and local regulatory entities. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor C 71 L 03 4/18/03</i></p>
SHB	1707	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Jarrett, Simpson, Shabro</i></p> <p>REVISING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROVISIONS TO IMPROVE THE DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL PROCESS AND ENHANCE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new section is added to SEPA that allows GMA jurisdictions to establish additional categorical exemptions within urban growth areas in order to accommodate infill development consistent with their adopted comprehensive plans. • These categorical exemptions may apply only to GMA jurisdiction actions on residential or mixed-use development proposed where current density and intensity of use in an urban growth area is lower than provided in the applicable comprehensive plan. The categorical exemptions may be established only if the jurisdiction's comprehensive plan was previously analyzed through an environmental impact statement under SEPA. • Any categorical exemption established under this bill is subject to applicable exceptions to the use of categorical exemptions in the SEPA rules (i.e., segments of a functionally related project, development in certain critical areas). <p><i>* Signed by the Governor C 298 L 03 5/14/03</i></p>
SHB	1755	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Kirby, Romero, Conway</i></p> <p>CREATING ALTERNATIVE MEANS FOR ANNEXATION OF UNINCORPORATED ISLANDS OF TERRITORY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creates an alternative method of annexation allowing jurisdictions subject to the "buildable lands" review and evaluation program of the Growth Management Act (GMA) to enter into interlocal agreements to annex qualifying territory meeting specific contiguity requirements. • Creates an alternative method of annexation allowing counties subject to the "buildable lands" review and evaluation program of the GMA to enter into interlocal agreements with multiple municipalities to conduct annexation elections for qualifying territory contiguous to more than one city or town. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor C 299 L 03 5/14/03</i></p>

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW

GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Bill Number		Summary
SHB	1756	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Conway, Winsley</i> (SB5659 Winsley)</p> <p>AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides new retail sales and use tax authority, subject to voter approval, of up to 0.3 percent to counties with populations of 1 million or less. Requires that 40 percent of any revenue received under the new retail sales and use tax be distributed to cities within the county. Creates a new taxing district in county unincorporated areas. Provides new regular property tax authority of 30 cents per thousand of assessed value to county unincorporated areas and cities, subject to voter approval and to prorationing. <p>H Rules X 4/7/03</p>
ESHB	1769	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Romero, Mulliken</i> (SB5551)</p> <p>ESTABLISHING A SCHEDULE OF TIME LIMITS UNDER WHICH LOCAL GOVERNMENTS MUST DEVELOP OR AMEND SHORELINE MASTER PLANS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replaces the 24-month deadline for development or amendment of shoreline master programs with a staggered statutory schedule. Removes the limits on the Department of Ecology (DOE) grants to local governments for developing master programs and establishes new grant funding and related compliance requirements. Requires local governments to conduct a comprehensive master program review at least once every seven years after the applicable deadlines in the established schedule. Requires the DOE to review master program guidelines every seven years beginning July 1, 2015. <p>H Rules 3C 4/27/03</p>
HB	1801	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Moeller</i></p> <p>AUTHORIZING AN ALTERNATIVE METHOD OF ANNEXATION BY CITIES AND TOWNS BASED ON UTILITY SERVICE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Creates an alternative means of annexation allowing cities and towns to annex contiguous unincorporated territory where the city or town provides or has committed to providing retail sewer or water service to at least 75 percent of the proposed annexation territory. Requires sellers of real property to indicate on the real property transfer disclosure statement whether utility service or annexation agreements affect the property. <p>H Rules 3C 4/27/04</p>
HB	1882	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Grant</i></p> <p>MODIFYING LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT PROVISIONS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cities and towns with local improvement districts (LID) that cannot redeem bonds issued by the LID because of insufficient funds in the LID's bond redemption account, can use the city or town's general fund for this purpose.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW

GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Bill Number		Summary
E SHB	1933	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Berkey, Kessler, Cairnes</i> (SB5880)</p> <p>INTEGRATING SHORELINE MANAGEMENT ACT AND GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT PROVISIONS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The goals of the growth management act, including the goals and policies of the shoreline management act set forth, continue to be listed without priority. Shorelines of statewide significance may include critical areas as designated by the GMA, but shorelines of statewide significance are not critical areas simply because they are shorelines of statewide significance. Within shoreline jurisdiction, critical areas will be protected by the Shoreline Master Program and regulations will be reviewed for compliance with the Shoreline Management Act. However, SMP regulations must provide a level of protection of critical areas at least equal to that provided by the county or city's adopted or thereafter amended critical areas ordinances. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor</i> C 321 L 03 5/15/03</p>
E HB	2067	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Schoesler, Cox</i></p> <p>PERMITTING WITHDRAWALS OF PUBLIC GROUND WATERS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establishes a pilot project for Whitman County that exempts, from water permit requirements, withdrawals of ground water for certain clustered residential developments for up to 1,200 gallons a day per residence. Terminates certain new uses of the exemption on December 31, 2015. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor</i> C 307 L 03 5/14/03</p>
E SHB	2228	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Murray</i> (SB 5340 Horn)</p> <p>EXTENDING COMMUTE TRIP REDUCTION INCENTIVES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A commute trip reduction (CTR) tax credit and grant program is enacted from July 1, 2004, until July 1, 2013. Employers are allowed a business and occupation or public utility tax credit if they provide financial incentives to their employees for ridesharing in carpools, public transportation, car sharing, and nonmotorized commuting (CTR modes). Total tax credits are limited to \$2,250,000 per fiscal year. In addition, to the extent funds are appropriated, the Washington State Department of Transportation must administer a CTR grant program for employers who give financial incentives to their employees for using CTR modes and for teleworking. Total grants may not exceed \$750,000 per fiscal year. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor</i> C 364 L 03 5/19/03</p>
E SB	5014	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Honeyford</i> (HB 1533 Schoesler)</p> <p>AUTHORIZING A NEW SUBACCOUNT IN THE PUBLIC WORKS ASSISTANCE ACCOUNT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A subaccount is created in the Public Works Assistance Account to receive appropriations for distribution as grants for local government water storage and water systems facilities projects. Projects funded from the subaccount must comply with competitive bid requirements generally applicable to Public Works Assistance Account projects. Interest earned on subaccount funds will be deposited into the subaccount. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor</i> C 330 L 03 5/16/03</p>

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW

GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Bill Number		Summary
SSB	5022	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Parlette</i></p> <p>AUTHORIZING COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS TO BE CONSIDERED AS OFTEN AS ONCE EVERY SIX MONTHS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The governing body of a GMA county or city may by ordinance or resolution establish a procedure for consideration of amendments no more frequently than twice per calendar year. • Current statute restricts counties and cities subject to the Growth Management Act (GMA) from considering amendments to their comprehensive plans more frequently than once per year, except for initial adoption of a plan, adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program element, or amendment of a capital facility element occurring concurrently with budget adoption. <p>S Rules 3 4/27/03</p>
2SSB	5027	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Morton</i></p> <p>PROVIDING FOR LOCALLY DEVELOPED WATERSHED PLANNING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directs planning units to coordinate and oversee the implementation of watershed plans and authorizes a state grant program for these activities. • Requires the planning units to provide for the periodic review of approved plans. • Requires rules adopted by the Department of Ecology (DOE) for implementing its watershed obligations to be developed under negotiated rule-making and allows the DOE to amend approved plans by rule through such negotiated rule-making. • Requires all watershed planning to include timelines and interim milestones for achieving certain water supply objectives. • Requires instream flow requirements to be set in each water resource inventory area (WRIA). • Requires the coordination of the development of "total maximum daily loads" by the DOE for water quality under federal law with watershed planning conducted for water quality. • Requires the coordination of salmon recovery project list development with watershed planning conducted for habitat. <p>S Rules 3 4/27/03</p>

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW

GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Bill Number		Summary
E SB	5073	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Fraser, Honeyford, Hale</i></p> <p>ADOPTING PROVISIONS FOR COOPERATIVE WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLANS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Statutory and fiscal authority is provided so that numerous local government entities with water-related services and functions can more fully cooperate and coordinate efforts as watershed plans are adopted and implemented. In addition to cities and counties, the following special district entities are expressly authorized to expend water-related revenues, raise water-related funds, and participate in cooperative watershed management activities: water and sewer, public utility, port, diking, drainage, flood control, aquifer, shellfish, lake management, irrigation, reclamation, conservation, and other similar special purpose districts. Eligible implementation activities are broadly defined to include oversight of plan implementation, technical support, monitoring, and projects in the areas of water supply, water quality, and habitat protection. The range of management plans entities may work toward implementing can include plans for watersheds, salmon recovery, growth management, shoreline management, and Puget Sound Water Quality, as well as other comprehensive WRIA-based management plans. Public agencies are expressly allowed to form separate legal entities, to be called watershed management partnerships, under the Interlocal Cooperation Act (ICA) (chapter 39.34 RCW). <p><i>* Signed by the Governor C 327 L 03 5/16/03</i></p>
E SB	5279	<p><i>Prime Sponsor Prentice</i></p> <p>EXTENDING THE EXPIRATION DATE OF THE TRANSPORTATION PERMIT EFFICIENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Transportation Permit Efficiency and Accountability Committee, which was created by ESB 6188 in 2001 and was to expire on March 31, 2003, is extended through March 31, 2006. Additional goals for the committee are established for expediting permits for transportation projects. Detailed work plans are required for some elements of the committee's work and dates are established for reports to the Legislature. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor C 8 L 03 3/31/03</i></p>
SSB	5305	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Mulliken</i></p> <p>REVIEWING THE STATE'S NEED FOR CONSTRUCTION AGGREGATES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A nine person committee is created to study whether the supply of aggregate in the state is sufficient to fulfill comprehensive plan requirements under the Growth Management Act. The committee will evaluate permit efficiency and the regulation of aggregate and affiliated industries. A report of the committee's findings will be submitted to the Legislature no later than December 15, 2003. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor C 243 L 03 5/12/03</i></p>

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW

GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Bill Number	Summary
SB 5307	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Mulliken, Finkbeiner, Stevens, McCaslin, Hale and Esser</i></p> <p>REQUIRING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO ISSUE PROJECT PERMITS IN A TIMELY MANNER</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allows local governments planning under the Growth Management Act to issue a notice of final decision on a project within 120 days unless specific extension criteria are met. • Requires the Legislature to establish an advisory group to review and make recommendations to statutory project review provisions. • Removes the September 1, 2003, expiration date from statutory provisions requiring annual permit performance reports by specified jurisdictions. <p>S Rules 3 4/27/03</p>
SB 5308	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Mulliken, T. Sheldon, Morton and McCaslin</i></p> <p>RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD REVIEW OF PLAN AND REGULATION COMPLIANCE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Boards may review adopted comprehensive plans and development regulations only for compliance of the local government adoption or amendment actions with the procedural requirements of the statute. • Boards may issue an order of invalidity only if the adoption or amendment of the plan or regulation was not in compliance with statutory requirements. <p>S Rules 3 4/27/03</p>
SB 5282	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Hargrove, Mulliken, T. Sheldon, Doumit, Benton and Zarelli</i></p> <p>ELIMINATING GROWTH MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARDS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The growth management hearings boards are eliminated on the effective date of this legislation. After such date, all appeals of local government decisions relating to development regulations or comprehensive plans, as well as OFM population projection adjustments under the GMA, are heard by a superior court. • The superior court must issue a final judgment as to whether the local government decision is in compliance or noncompliance with the goals and requirements of the GMA. All superior court final judgments may be appealed to a court of appeals or the Supreme Court in the same manner, provided for by law, of other superior court civil case decisions. <p>S Rules 3 4/27/03</p>
SSB 5409	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Mulliken, Sheldon, T., Roach (HB1231)</i></p> <p>PROVIDING FOR DIRECT PETITION ANNEXATIONS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The direct petition method of annexation is reviewed in recognition of the recent Washington State Supreme Court decision. To annex contiguous inhabited territory, a petition must be signed by: (1) owners of a majority of the acreage in the area to be annexed, and (2) a majority of registered voters in the area to be annexed. To annex contiguous uninhabited territory, a petition must be signed by owners of a majority of the acreage in the area to be annexed. • If property is owned by multiple owners, the signature of an owner designated by the multiple owners is sufficient for the petition. • The direct petition method remains an alternative method and does not supersede any other method of annexation. • An official plat is not required to accompany a petition; a "drawing" of the boundaries of the area to be annexed is sufficient. <p>* <i>Signed by the Governor</i> C 331 L 03 5/16/03</p>

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW

GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Bill Number		Summary
SSB	5472	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Mulliken, Hewitt, Morton, McCaslin, T. Sheldon and Rasmussen.</i></p> <p>An act relating to a tax exemption for property that has declined in value due to shoreline regulation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Property is exempt from state property taxes if the property's value has been reduced by at least 25 percent after November 20, 2002, as a result of shoreline master program regulation. This property tax exemption continues until the cumulative tax savings equals or exceeds the reduction in property value. A process is specified for filing exemption claims and to establish the amount of the value reduction. Value reduction determinations may be made by either a county assessor or a state-certified general real estate appraiser and such determinations may be appealed to a county board of equalization. Value reduction determinations made by a county assessor are presumed correct, unless there is clear, cogent, and convincing evidence otherwise. Taxing district levies must be reduced as needed to prevent exemptions from resulting in a higher tax rate. <p>Senate Ways & Means 2/19/03</p>
SB	5507	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: T. Sheldon</i></p> <p>CLARIFYING WHO HAS STANDING REGARDING GROWTH MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD HEARINGS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The requirement under the Growth Management Act for "participation" standing before a Growth Management Hearings Board is that a petitioner must have participated orally or in writing before the local government. An additional requirement to obtain "participation" standing is added and provides that only issues "reasonably related" to issues that the aggrieved person previously raised at the local level can be considered by the Board. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor C 332 L 03 5/16/03</i></p>
SSB	5550	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: West</i> <i>(HB 1099 O'Brien)</i></p> <p>PROHIBITING SECURE COMMUNITY TRANSITION FACILITIES FROM BEING SITED NEAR PUBLIC AND PRIVATE YOUTH CAMPS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adds public and private youth camps to the definition of "risk potential facilities and activities." Secure community transition facilities may not be located within the direct proximity to risk potential facilities and activities. Applies prospectively only and does not require cities and counties to amend their development regulations. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor C 50 L 03 4/17/03</i></p>
SSB	5602	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Kline, Mulliken, Shin</i> <i>(HB 1608 Upthegrove)</i></p> <p>CONCERNING THE ACCOMMODATION OF HOUSING AND EMPLOYMENT GROWTH UNDER LOCAL COMPREHENSIVE PLANS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A new section is added to the Growth Management Act (GMA) requiring counties and cities subject to the GMA to ensure that, taken collectively, actions to adopt or amend their comprehensive plans or development regulations provide sufficient capacity of land suitable for development within their jurisdictions. The requirement for sufficient capacity refers to accommodating a jurisdiction's allocated housing and employment growth as adopted in the applicable countywide planning policies and consistent with the 20-year population forecast from the Office of Financial Management. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor C 333 L 03 5/16/03</i></p>

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW

GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Bill Number		Summary
	5651	<p><i>Prime Sponsor Hargrove</i></p> <p>AUTHORIZING LAND BANKS IN CERTAIN COUNTIES WITH LOW POPULATION DENSITIES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The industrial land bank program under the Growth Management Act is amended to provide that counties meeting certain geographic requirements are eligible for the program based on population density criteria, rather than unemployment criteria. Jefferson and Clallam counties are eligible for the program under this provision. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor C 88 L 03 4/23/03</i></p>
SSB	5658	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Senate Committee on Land Use & Planning (originally sponsored by Mulliken, Haugen, T. Sheldon, Morton and Rasmussen)</i></p> <p>AN ACT RELATING TO THE USE OF BEST AVAILABLE SCIENCE IN DEVELOPING CRITICAL AREAS POLICIES AND REGULATIONS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is clarified that the use of best available science is a procedural requirement and not a substantive requirement for adopted plans and regulations. Counties and cities must "consider" the best available science in developing policies and development regulations to protect critical areas. In developing their policies and regulations for critical areas, counties and cities (a) must consider only the science applicable to each type of critical area, (b) may consider science from a different physical context from that of the critical area context at issue, (c) are not obligated to consider science from a different physical context if a county or city determines that such science is not applicable, (d) are not restricted to a precautionary or no-risk approach where there is an absence of science applicable to a specific critical area, (e) may consider the criteria for best available science adopted as rules by the Department of Community, Trade, and Economic Development, and (f) may consider the cost-effectiveness of each alternative for protecting each type of critical area. Growth management hearings boards in their review of the use of best available science by counties and cities must give deference to the county and city findings and conclusions in developing critical areas plans and regulations if their adoption procedures complied with GMA requirements. <p><i>S Rules 3 4/27/03</i></p>
ESSB	5659	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Winsley, Conway (HB1756)</i></p> <p>AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides new retail sales and use tax authority, subject to voter approval, of up to 0.3 percent to counties. Requires that 40 percent of any revenue received under the new retail sales and use tax be distributed to cities within the county or a per capita basis. Allows property taxing districts, subject to voter approval, to increase property tax collections year-over-year at a rate that exceeds 1 percent, for up to six consecutive years. Allows certain small counties to opt out of the requirement to plan under the Growth Management Act. Provides Clallam and Jefferson Counties with an additional year by which to complete a review and evaluation of plans and regulations under the Growth Management Act. <p><i>S Rules 3 4/27/03</i></p>

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW

GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Bill Number		Summary
SSB	5661	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Senate Committee on Land Use & Planning (originally sponsored by Schmidt, Mulliken, Shin, Finkbeiner, Stevens, Esser, Johnson, Reardon and Oke)</i></p> <p>CONCERNING THE USE OF UNUSED AGRICULTURAL LANDS FOR INTERIM RECREATIONAL PURPOSES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A GMA county or city may redesignate agricultural lands that have not been in use for commercial production for at least five years to allow use of the lands for recreational activities, including fields for sports played on grass. • The redesignated lands must be conserved for potential future agricultural use; no permanent structures may be erected on or beneath the lands; and the county or city must annually review the interim recreational use and the potential productive agricultural use of the lands. <p>S Rules 3 4/27/03</p>
ESSB	5680	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Mulliken</i></p> <p>ALLOWING COUNTIES WITH LOW POPULATION DENSITIES TO MAKE A DECLARATION TO BE NOT SUBJECT TO CERTAIN GMA REVIEW REQUIREMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Counties that have a population density per square mile of less than 55 persons and the cities therein may choose to extend the deadline requirements under the GMA to update their comprehensive plans and development regulations. • If, however, a county's population density should grow to equal or exceed 55 persons per square mile, then the county and the cities therein must comply with all GMA update requirements within two years from the date the county's population density equals or exceeds 55 persons per square mile. <p>S Rules 3 4/27/03</p>
2SSB	5694	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Swecker</i></p> <p>CREATING A PILOT PROJECT TO DEVELOP AN INTEGRATED ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT SYSTEM</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By December 1, 2005, the Office of Permit Assistance must develop: (1) a guide for creating a unified project decision support document for state and federal agencies and local governments; (2) recommendations for an integrated permit system to integrate project design, review, permitting, and mitigation; recommendations for legislative changes needed to establish the system; and recommendations for full-scale testing of the system through a pilot project. • Meeting the requirements to develop a guide and recommendations is done through a pilot project of economic development significance. The office must submit reports on its efforts on December 1, 2003, and December 1, 2005. <p>* <i>Signed by the Governor</i> C 245 L 03 5/12/03</p>
SSB	5761	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: T. Sheldon</i></p> <p>MODIFYING REQUIREMENTS FOR INDUSTRIAL PROJECTS OF STATEWIDE SIGNIFICANCE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An industrial project of statewide significance, formerly determined by investment amounts, may now be a project with projected employment positions of fifty or greater in rural counties or one hundred or greater in urban counties. An application for designation as an industrial project of statewide significance must be submitted to the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development. The application must include a letter of approval from jurisdictions where a project is located. • Counties and cities with projects are to enter into agreements with the Office of Permit Assistance and project managers of industrial projects of statewide significance in order to expedite the processes necessary for the design and construction of projects. • The Office of Permit Assistance is to provide facilitation and coordination services to industrial projects of statewide significance. <p>* <i>Signed by the Governor</i> C 54 L 03 4/17/03</p>

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW

GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Bill Number		Summary
E SSB	5776	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Doumit</i></p> <p>PROVIDING AN APPEAL PROCESS FOR STATE AGENCY AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERMIT DECISIONS FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A uniform, expedited, and coordinated permit appeal process is authorized as the exclusive process for review of final state agency and local government environmental and land use permit decisions for certain economic development projects. Permit decision appeals for a qualifying project are consolidated before a single board within the Environmental Hearings Office. Decisions of the board are appealable for direct review by the Court of Appeals. <p>Del to Gov 4/28/03</p>
SB	5783	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Finkbeiner</i> <i>(HB 1863 Gombosky)</i></p> <p>IMPLEMENTING THE STREAMLINED SALES AND USE TAX AGREEMENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Washington State sales and use tax statutes are modified to conform with many provisions of the Streamlined Sales Tax Agreement, an effort among the 46 states with retail sales taxes to achieve baseline uniformity among the states' tax statutes. Definitions of ten types of property are modified and nine administrative provisions are modified. The sourcing administrative provision, referring to where a transaction is taxable, is not changed, however the Department of Revenue will conduct a study on its potential impact.
SSB	5786	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Sheldon, T., Mulliken</i></p> <p>CLARIFYING THE SCOPE OF INDUSTRIAL USES ALLOWED IN RURAL AREAS UNDER GMA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Industrial "uses" are permitted under the Growth Management Act in both industrial and mixed-use areas in certain types of Limited Areas of More Intensive Rural Development (LAMIRDs). Industrial uses within specified LAMIRDs are not required to be principally designed to serve the existing and projected rural population in order to be lawfully zoned. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor</i> C 152 L 03 5/8/03</p>
SSB	6012	<p><i>Prime Sponsor: Mulliken (HB 2178 Schindler)</i></p> <p>CODIFYING SHORELINE RULES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department of Ecology (DOE) may adopt amendments to the shorelines guidelines no more than once per year and the amendments must be related to technical, procedural, or compliance issues. <p><i>* ESHB 1769 was incorporated in its entirety into SSB 6012, as described in the bullets below.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A staggered statutory schedule for the update of shoreline master programs, running from 2005 to 2014 and every seven years after the initial deadline, is established. Limits on grants from DOE to local governments for master program reviews are removed and new requirements for the receipt of such grants are created. <p><i>* Signed by the Governor</i> C262 L03</p>

Rules 2 G or Rules 3 C: Measure eligible to be placed on Floor Calendar

LEGISLATIVE SESSION 2003 BILL REVIEW GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Rules X: Bills which the Rules Committee has determined are no longer eligible for consideration due to the terms of a cut-off resolution. (further resolutions can change that status.)